

WHERE DOES STEEL GO NOW?

With the first wave of consolidation bringing stability, the industry looks to further integration and policy change to remain competitive. > **BY JONATHAN KATZ**



Photos in feature story courtesy U.S. Steel

THE U.S. STEEL industry is off life support and breathing on its own again. Resuscitated by consolidation, restructuring and import relief tariffs, domestic steel enters 2008 with four years of strong growth behind it. The question for steel makers now is, How do we sustain this momentum? That will likely depend on the industry's response to rising raw materials and energy costs, booming global competition and environmental regulation. It also could hinge on how the federal government proceeds with proposed legislation to address trade inequities with China.

One thing analysts and steel executives agree on is that the industry will consolidate even more in the coming years. In the late 1990s and early 2000s large domestic and foreign-owned steel producers gobbled up bankrupt companies, such as LTV, Bethlehem Steel and Weirton Steel. Today, the top three producers in the United States account for 68% of domestic output, according to Fitch Ratings. The mergers helped raise steel prices and create stronger companies more capable of weathering demand downturns.

In North America consoli-

dation of large, integrated mills has largely run its course. The new consolidation wave that's emerging is acquisitions of raw materials suppliers, mini mills and downstream steel producers. On the raw materials front, tremendous demand from China has driven up the cost of iron ore, coking coal, scrap metal and other steel-making ingredients. But many steel producers have managed through ownership. "A lot of the U.S. companies have been somewhat insulated because of having their own supplies," says Nicholas Sogar, global steel leader with consulting firm Deloitte & Touche USA LLP.

For instance, in 2007 mini-mill steel producer Steel Dynamics Inc. purchased a 6,000-acre taconite mine on the Mesabi Iron Range in Minnesota from Cleveland Cliffs Inc. and acquired scrap processing and trading company OmniSource Corp.

U.S. Steel Corp. is fairly secure with its domestic raw-materials supplies, but the company is exploring opportunities in Europe for its overseas operations where prices are more volatile, says John Goodish, executive vice president and chief operating officer for U.S. Steel. "We're essentially self-sufficient on iron ore here domestically in the U.S. and Canada, and we have an adequate supply of coking coal under our control to supply our coke batteries,

but in Europe we are subject to market conditions to acquire iron ore and our coking coals, so we continue to look for those opportunities. Unfortunately, in today's economy with the performance steel companies have had in recent years, those assets are reasonably expensive."

Steel industry consultant Michael Locker refers to this movement as backward integration. Previously, steel producers sold off their raw materials operations and transportation facilities; now they want them back, says Locker, president, Locker Associates. "Those that own the mines, those that own the facilities which produce those goods, are less subject to international price increases, so U.S. producers stand in good stead because a number of them own iron ore mines here in the United States . . . and there's a move to build more coking coal facilities. That's the integrated world."

Downstream Convergence

Indeed, the world of steel production is about to become even more integrated with buyers targeting manufacturers of such downstream steel products as pipe and tube, evidenced by U.S. Steel's 2007 purchase of steel tubular products maker Lone Star Technologies

Straight Talk: The State of Steel



To read more about what The Timken Co. Steel Group President Sal Miraglia and U.S. Steel COO John Goodish have to say about the state of the steel industry, visit www.industryweek.com/steeltalk

INDUSTRYWEEK spoke at length with Sal Miraglia, The Timken Co. Steel Group president, and John Goodish, U.S. Steel COO, on a variety of issues facing the steel industry. Here's what they had to say:

Miraglia on foreign steel producers locating in the U.S.:

"Coming here and operating here is actually in the better interest of North American competitors than if they're trying to compete as a foreign producer. This way at the very least they're subject to the very same market idiosyncrasies that we have to experience here, but it does without a question put more stress on various dimensions of the supply chain."

Goodish on energy:
"We need to work with the federal and state authorities and energy provid-

ers to create competitive energy markets in which industrial firms can purchase energy under equitable conditions and terms. In almost all of our states we talk about deregulation of electrical power. In most states where there's actually been deregulation of electrical power, it has not worked correctly."


Goodish on the skilled-labor shortage:

"This is probably one of the most significant issues we have to face. . . . The industry's former hiring patterns have now created an imbalance in the workforce in which the impending retirement of the baby boomers threatens to create a critical shortage of experienced employees. We're currently implementing aggressive recruiting programs to create a skilled

and diverse workforce that will sustain U.S. Steel at the forefront of the global steel industry, and I don't believe we're alone at that."

Miraglia on steel's image with the workforce:

"We are working with the schools that graduate the kinds of disciplines that are important for us—to appreciate where their curricula now stand because it's changed quite a bit from when [schools] were preparing people to work in the steel industry. They've moved away from that. So we need to help them reconstruct curricula or at least make available options for students to re-examine what they might want to learn in order to make decisions that would let them educate themselves and/or for us to help educate them to come work for us."



Inc. and Nucor Corp.'s acquisition of Toronto-based Harris Steel Group Inc. U.S. Steel expects the Lone Star deal will strengthen its position as a provider of piping for the expanding oil and natural gas market, while reinforcement bar manufacturer Harris Steel provides Nucor with an opportunity to reach new markets and grow geographically.

The mini-mill segment is another area where there's still some opportunity for consolidation. Much of the mini-mill operations, which produce steel from scrap and utilize electric-arc furnaces, are too isolated to have a wide geographical reach, notes Markus Stahl, director of industry and product marketing for discrete industries at enterprise software provider Infor.

"The U.S. steel industry is still fragmented and a single-location mill has commercial limitations in terms of its ability to offer wide geographical coverage, as well as scheduling flexibility," Stahl observes. "Important success factors for single-location mills are niche products and technological benefits to produce as flexibly as possible, with time, cost and productivity advantages. There is space for well-running, single-location mini mills, but the overall consolidation will continue."

China and Beyond

Outside the United States there is still a sizable opportunity for consolidation, particularly in China where the nation's top five producers only account for about 20% of its overall production, according to Fitch Ratings. The problem, say

steel producers, is that the Chinese government doesn't allow foreign investors to own majority stakes in its steel companies. It's one of the many reasons China continues to be a hot-button issue for U.S. steel producers. Currency manipulation, lax environmental regulations and imports are among the other trade concerns when it comes to China (see sidebar, "Energy Subsidies Shift Balance of Power Toward Chinese Steel Makers").

The weaker dollar has provided domestic steel producers with a momentary respite from imports. Steel imports in the United States were projected to reach 34 million tons in 2007, down from the record 45 million tons recorded in 2006, according to the American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI). At the same time, steel exports are forecasted to reach 125 million tons in 2008 compared with an expected finish of 120 million tons in 2007.

That's positive news, but industry experts are cautiously optimistic. Competition from China is expected to gain strength, with the nation representing approximately 40% of global steel production by 2009-2010, says Andrew Sharkey, AISI president and CEO. "I think the single most important concern is capacity that's been put in place not based on market principles, and the potential of that capacity to find its way into steel-intensive products that impact our industry's customer base here in North America," Sharkey says.

Another area of concern deals with the environment. Proposed regulations could force the U.S. steel industry to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The industry already has cut its energy intensity by 29% since 1990, according to AISI. Meanwhile, emerging economies such as China have an advantage because they don't follow the

FOCUS ON THE PROCESS INDUSTRIES

same stringent environmental standards, the industry argues. “We don’t think they should be given a free pass to pollute in the name of economic development,” says U.S. Steel’s Goodish.

The solution, say steel makers, is finding a way to implement and enforce a worldwide standard. “It would be very, very useful because I think it would be much fairer in the way you go about treating everybody as we try to get the right kind of energy intensity and environmental impact everywhere,” says Sal Miraglia, president of The Timken Co.’s Steel Group.

Forward Thinking

Regardless of what measures the government puts into place, Miraglia and the rest of the industry are aware that with or without regulations, energy prices will continue to rise. At Timken, the company is substituting some of the electrical power used in its furnaces with fluidized pet coke to inject oxygen more efficiently. In November 2007, U.S. Steel announced a \$1 billion plan to update its coke ovens at its Clariton plant near Pittsburgh. The program involves building two coke batteries and a cogeneration facility, along with the rehabilitation of several existing



coke batteries. Coke oven gas produced from coke battery operations would be consumed in the proposed cogeneration facility, which would supply electricity for three Pittsburgh-area plants.

Energy Subsidies Shift Balance of Power Toward Chinese Steel Makers

Thanks to “massive, trade-distorting energy subsidies” estimated at \$25 billion over the past five years, China has become the largest producer and consumer of steel in the world, claims Usha C.V. Haley, associate professor of management at the University of Tennessee and a principal of Haley and Associates. In a report prepared for the Alliance for

American Manufacturing, a trade organization that aims to strengthen the U.S. steel industry, Haley observes that “the Chinese steel industry as it exists today stems from government intervention, oversight and subsidies,” which she says accounts for a dramatic shift from being a net importer to the largest exporter of steel within a period of two or three years. “Our analysis

shows that energy subsidies have a very strong correlation with Chinese steel exports,” Haley says. “In fact, the connection is so clear that, essentially, it’s possible to almost perfectly predict China’s steel exports from its energy subsidies.” These subsidies include support for thermal and coking coal, electricity and natural gas. Haley’s report is available at www.americanmanufacturing.org.

A more ambitious industrywide plan that’s likely decades from becoming reality, if it ever does happen, is to eliminate CO₂ altogether from the steel-making process. Last year, AISI partnered with the Department of Energy to research the possibility at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The process involves producing iron using molten oxide electrolysis, which would generate no CO₂ gases. The project along with others in progress have a time frame of 15 years or more, says Sharkey.

The other processes being explored involve iron making by hydrogen flash smelting, geological sequestration of CO₂ and mineral sequestration. Whether or not the experiments pan out, it’s a signal that the industry is taking regulatory threats seriously.

“We have to get out in front of this because at the end of the day we have to find a technology solution to climate change,” says Sharkey. But, he adds, government mandates need to be made in concert with the industry’s ability to fund, develop and make commercially viable the technologies that will make CO₂-free steel production possible. **iw**

Copyright of *Industry Week/IW* is the property of Penton Publishing and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.